

Leakage Resilient Cryptosystems

RUI ZHANG

A Gap between Theoretical Analysis and Practical Attacks

- Traditional theoretic analysis on security of cryptographic schemes usually assumes the **implementation is perfect**.
 - Deriving a proper mathematical model from the real systems;
 - Showing reductions from any **attack to the target scheme** to **breaking underlying assumptions**.
- This may be **not always true** in practice due to so-called “side-channel attack” (power consumption, computation time, electro-magnetic emission, acoustic cryptanalysis, etc.).
 - E.g.: the “cold boot attack”

Cold Boot Attack



~~Secret keys in the memory~~

Image source: <http://citp.princeton.edu/memory/media/>

A New Study Considering Such Side Channels



New modeling and “proper” analysis

Secure schemes tolerating (partial) key leakage

- Only the amount of information leakage is limited.
- The type of information leakage is not limited.

Public Key Encryption

Digital Signature

Verifiable Pseudorandom Function (VRF)

The Main Tool:

(Hierarchical) ID-Based KEMs tolerating leakage in the master secret key

Reference:

Physically Observable Cryptography

- Micali-Reyzin [TCC 2004]